UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,448	09/06/2006	Sabrina Higgins	102792-609 (11382P1 US)	1281
27389 PARFOMAK, A	7590 09/22/201 ANDREW N .	EXAMINER		
NORRIS MCL	AUGHLIN & MARCU	ROONEY, NORA MAUREEN		
875 THIRD AVE, 8TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/22/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/597,448	HIGGINS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
NORA M. ROONEY	1644		

	NORA M. ROONEY	1644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>19 August 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(the content of the co	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	Called
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		cause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reig	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	is in each and a second and a second and a second		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	I sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Nora M Rooney/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 1644	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 1, 4-11 and 13-19 stand provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of copending Application No. 10/595,767 for the same reasons as set forth in the Office Action mailed on 05/26/2010. Contrary to Applicant's assertion, the rejection is not premature and stands for reasons of record until the conflicting claims are amended or cancelled.

Claims 1, 4-8, 11 and 13-17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by GB Patent Application Publication 2 367 243 (Reference 11; IDS filed on 07/26/2006) for the same reasons as set forth in the Office Action mailed on 05/26/2010. Applicant's assertion that the reference does not anticipate because it does not teach long-term effects is not persuasive. Applicants have not distinguished their method from the reference method, such that when Applicant's method is performed the resulting effects are different from the reference method. As currently recited, the reference method anticipates the claimed invention. It is suggested that Applicant amend their claims to recite a method comprising method steps that distinguish the claimed method from the reference teachings and that would account for "long-term effects." There is currently no difference between the instant claimed method and the method of GB Patent Application Publication 2 367 243. Therefore, the reference teachings anticipate the claimed invention.

Claims 1, 4-8, 11 and 13-17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 01/76371 (Reference 2; IDS filed on 07/26/2006) for the same reasons as set forth in the Office Action mailed on 05/26/2010. Applicant's assertion that the reference does not anticipate because it does not teach long-term effects is not persuasive. Applicants have not distinguished their method from the reference method, such that when Applicant's method is performed the resulting effects are different from the reference method. As currently recited, the reference method anticipates the claimed invention. It is suggested that Applicant amend their claims to recite a method comprising method steps that distinguish the claimed method from the reference teachings and that would account for "long-term effects." There is currently no difference between the instant claimed method and the method of WO 01/76371. Therefore, the reference teachings anticipate the claimed invention.

Claims 1, 9, 11 and 18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GB Patent Application Publication 2 367 243 (Reference 11; IDS filed on 07/26/2006) or WO 01/76371 (Reference 2; IDS filed on 07/26/2006) each in view of WO 03/070286 (Reference 4; IDS filed on 07/26/2006) for the same reasons as set forth in the Office Action mailed on 05/26/2010 and set forth supra.

It is the Examiner's suggestion that Applicant amend their claims to more distinctly claim their method steps that result in longer lasting effects than the methods set forth in the cited references.